UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.			
10/623,450	07/21	/2003 .	Chang-Ming Yang	003-03-017	5024			
46103 HDSL	7590	12/14/2007		EXAM	EXAMINER			
4331 STEVEN		LANE	. LIEU, JULIE BICHNGOC					
FAIRFAX, V	FAIRFAX, VA 22033				PAPER NUMBER			
	,			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
				12/14/2007	PAPER			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		•	
. 0	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/623,450	YANG, CHANG-MING	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Julie Lieu	2612	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI ute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29	September 2007.		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>27-52</u> is/are pending in the applicati	ion.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.		
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>44-51</u> is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>27,34,35 and 52</u> is/are rejected.	•		
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>28-33</u> is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.	•	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac		by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ction is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	•		
1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received in A	application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	ority documents have beer	received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bure	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	st of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
2) DNotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) L Notice of	Informal Patent Application	

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

6) Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office action is in response to Applicant's amendment filed September 29, 2007. claims 1-26 have been canceled. New claims 27-52 have been added.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 34, 35, 39, 40, and 44 are objected to because of the following informalities: claim 1 and 36 claims the warning device warns user with EITHER sound OR signal. Therefore, if sound is interpreted to be the only warning, then in claim 34, how can a warning device be a diode since a diode can not produce sound. It is suggested if it is meant that the warning device is capable of producing either or both types of signal, then it should be recited as "to warn the users with sound and/or signal". However, note that "signal" is broad to cover any kind of signals, e.g. sound, light, vibration etc....

Claims 39 and 40 are object for similar reason.

Claim 44, "non-mental" should be recited as "non-metal".

In claims 44 and 45, perhaps the language should be changed clarify the warning is to warn the user to place the metal or magnetic material inside the electromagnetic wave proof device.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 36-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is not clear what the "security" of detected material is and how its "security" is detected.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

6. Claims 27, 34, 35, and 52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Dils et al. (US 2004/0194594).

Claim 27:

Dils et al. (Dils) discloses structural improvement for an alert system which comprises:

a sensor 46 that sends out signals to a control panel 24, said control panel consisting of an electronic device (inherent), a protection device (28,30), and a warning device (32,34) that receives signals from said sensor to protect users (by stopping or reversing the machine);

when a metal or magnetic material touches sensor's conductive material, the sensor's conductive material relays the signals to the control panel that starts the control panel's warning device to warn users with sounds or signals, and the control panel's protection device protects users from being hurt by the metal or magnetic material.

See fig. 1 and paras. [0036-0039].

Claim 34:

The warning device 32 in Dils is diode (since it is a LED).

Claim 35:

The warning device 34 in Dils is a beeper. Fig. 1.

Claim 52:

The sensor in Dils is a capacitive sensor whose resistance values vary with respect to the distance between the metal or magnetic material and the senor so that location of the material or magnetic material is determined.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 28-33 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. Claim 36-43 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 9. Claims 44-51 are allowed.

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Gass et al., US 2007/0240786.

Simpson, US Patent No. 6,772,939.

Grasselli, US Patent No. 5,510,685.

McCullough et al., US Patent No. 5,272,946.

DeBois, III, US Patent No. 5,201,684.

McCollough et al., US Patent No. 5,198702

Towsend, Patent No. 5,122,091.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Julie Lieu whose telephone number is 571-272-2978. The examiner can normally be reached on MaxiFlex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel Wu can be reached on 571-272-2964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Julie Lieu

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2612

Dec 07, 07